

Application No. 10/670,472

Docket No.: NY-LUD 5780.2

Amendment dated October 7, 2008

Reply to Office Action of July 22, 2008

REMARKS

Entry of the amendment is requested.

Claims 1, 11, and 47-51 will be pending.

There was an objection to claim 1, and hence claim 11, because it lacked a parenthesis. A parenthesis has been added.

Claims 47-50 replace claims 28-33 and parallel the language proffered to be enabled by the Examiner over pages 7-8 of the Office Action. Hence, the issues raised under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are moot.

Claim 51 parallels old claim 45. The action is confusing, because while point 13 states that the specification is enabling for making the peptide of SEQ ID NO: 5, but is not enabled for using it. Since no use is recited in former claim 45, the rejection thereof is not understood.

The specification has been amended to further define "taxol." Applicants find no other examples of allegedly improperly demarcated trademarks. The Examiner is of course invited to point out by page and line number where he disagrees, if he does in fact disagree.

Allowance is requested.

\* \* \*

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 07 2008

Docket No.: NY-LUD 5780.2

Application No. 10/670,472  
Amendment dated October 7, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of July 22, 2008.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0624, under Order No. NY-LUD 5780.2 US (10312064) from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: October 7, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Norman D. Hanson

Registration No.: 30,946

FULBRIGHT &amp; JAWORSKI L.L.P.

666 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10103

(212) 318-3000

(212) 318-3400 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant